

16
Response
P.06
8/15/03
mz

Attorney Docket No. 00-VE22.07A (RFG: 65632-0107)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Curry	Group Art Unit:	2665
Serial No.:	09/514,371	Examiner:	Nguyen, Steven
Filed:	02/28/00	Paper No.	15
For: INTERNET LONG DISTANCE TELEPHONE SERVICE			

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a))	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:	
<input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to Examiner Steven Nguyen at 703-872-9314 (fax number)
____ first class mail, postage prepaid in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231	
____ Express Mail, Post Office to Addressee, Mailing Label No. _____ addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington DC 20231	
 <small>Signature</small>	
Date: August 11, 2003	Name: <u>Diane R. Lytle</u>

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Dear Sir:

Applicant responds to the Office Action mailed April 10, 2003 (paper no. 14) regarding the above-identified application as follows.

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his consideration of the subject application. Applicant further thanks the Examiner for indicating the claim 11 contains allowable subject matter. The Examiner has rejected all other pending claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in light of various combinations of the following alleged prior art references: (i) U.S. Patent No.